

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
7

8 TUIOFU SOOGA,

9 Plaintiff,

10 vs.

11 STATE OF NEVADA DIVISION OF
12 PRISONERS, *et al.*,

13 Defendants.

Case No. 2:14-cv-00816-APG-NJK

**ORDER RE: INFORMAL
SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS**

14
15 This case will not be referred for formal mediation. However, given the nature of the claims the
16 Court has permitted to proceed, the Court finds that the parties will benefit from informal discussions in
17 an effort to settle this case. The Court encourages the parties to engage in such discussions. For this
18 reason, a courtesy copy of this order and the complaint shall be provided to defendants' counsel by the
19 Clerk of the Court.

20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21 Dated: September 22, 2014

22
23 
24 NANCY J. KORPE,
25 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26
27
28

Informal Settlement
Frequently Asked Questions

1. What is the purpose of informal settlement discussions for inmate Section 1983 cases?

The goal of informal settlement discussions in inmate Section 1983 cases is to allow the parties an opportunity to work together to reach a reasonable resolution to their case before the parties begin discovery.

2. Do the parties need to report to the judge what happened in settlement discussions?

No. Settlement discussions are confidential and neither party should report to the District Judge or the Magistrate Judge assigned to your case the results of settlement discussions. The parties shall not send offers and counter-offers to the court. The only information the court will receive is whether the case settled.

3. What happens if the parties reach a settlement?

If the parties settle the case, the deputy attorney general will prepare a written settlement agreement for the parties to sign. Once the parties review and sign the settlement agreement, a stipulation is submitted to the court to end the case.

4. What happens if the parties don't settle the case?

If the case does not settle, there is no negative consequence to the parties. Settlement discussions simply provide an opportunity for the parties to meet and discuss how they might resolve the case. If there is no settlement, the case will proceed.

5. Why do parties in litigation attempt settlement, as opposed to a trial on the merits?

Settlement is informal and the parties have an opportunity to be heard and to hear the opposing party's point of view, since parties typically don't speak to one another except through attorneys and legal filings. Settlement also allows the parties who have ongoing relationships to preserve it by having a discussion rather than engaging in an adversarial proceeding.

Settlement also offers the parties more flexibility to resolve their dispute. The parties can explore a variety of ways to resolve the case, and they have control over the outcome.

6. Are there other benefits to settlement discussions, even if the case does not settle?

Settlement discussions allow the parties the opportunity to speak honestly with one another in an informal, confidential environment. Even if the case is not settled, the process can be very helpful to the parties in understanding their claims and defenses in the case, what discovery and motions might be necessary, and this may save the parties time and money. Sometimes parties realize that certain claims or defenses can be dismissed, and they are more efficient in litigating the case. In addition, settlement discussions often opens the door for future resolution as the case proceeds. The better informed the parties are about their case, the better prepared they will be in litigation and in exploring future options for settlement.